Write the *type* of each of the following OCaml expressions in the first blank provided, or *ill-typed* if the expression does not type check. Then, after the \Rightarrow symbol, write the most simplified *value* of the expression, or leave it blank if it's ill-typed.

```
(a) let a : int list list
                                                                           \Rightarrow [[3]]
                                    = [3::[]]
(b) let b : ill-typed
                                    = [(1::2)::[]]
                                                                           \Rightarrow
(c) let c : int list list
                                    = [1::[2;3;4]]
                                                                           \Rightarrow [[1;2;3;4]]
(d) let d : ill-typed
                                    = [[1::2]::[3::4]]
(e) let e : int list list
                                    = [[1;2;3;4]::[]]
                                                                           \Rightarrow [[1;2;3;4]]
(f) let f : int list list list = [(1::[])::[2]::[3;4]::[]]
                                                                           \Rightarrow [[[1];[2];[3;4]]]
(g) let g : ill-typed
                                    = [(5,12,7);(2,5)]
(h) let h : int list list
                                    = [[5;12;7];[2;5]]
                                                                           \Rightarrow [[5;12;7];[2;5]]
```

Simplify the complex expression below, using step by step substitution. What is the value computed for 'answer' in the following program? (Note: the @ operator glues two lists of the same type together.)

```
let answer : int list =
         let list = [4;5] in
         <u>let</u> f (num : int) : int list = num :: list <u>in</u>
         <u>let</u> list = [6;7] <u>in</u>
         (f 3) @ list
\mapsto
       let answer : int list =
         let f (num : int) : int list = num :: [4;5] in
         <u>let</u> list = [6;7] <u>in</u>
         (f 3) @ list
       let answer : int list =
         let list = [6;7] in
         (3 :: [4;5]) @ list
       let answer : int list =
         (3 :: [4;5]) @ [6;7]
       let answer : int list =
         [3;4;5] @ [6;7]
       let answer : int list =
         [3;4;5;6;7]
```

Which of the following pieces of code are well-formed OCaml expressions? Refer to the production rules on the back of this sheet. For expressions that do not follow the syntax rules, write *ill-formed*. For those that do, add parentheses and/or underline subexpressions to clarify the boundaries of each expression. The first one had been done for you as an example.

- (a) if (x > 1) then if (y > 2) then 0 else 1 else if (z > 3) then 2 else 3 if (x > 1) then (if (y > 2) then 0 else 1) else (if (z > 3) then 2 else 3)
- (b) let x = 5 in (if (x = r) then 7 else x)
- (c) if (a = 0) then 9 else if (a = 1) then 8 else if (a = 2) then 7 Ill-formed (missing else)
- (d) if (a = 0) then 9 else (if (a = 1) then 8 else (if (a = 2) then 7 else 6))
- (e) if (a = 0) then 9 else if (a = 1) then 8 else 7 else 6 Ill-formed (too many else)
- (f) if (x < y) then $(\underline{let z = y-x \text{ in } z*z})$ else $(\underline{let z = x-y \text{ in } z*z})$
- (g) let b = (if (c = 6) then d else e) in (if (f = b) then g else h)